

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DAT	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/621,167 07/15/2003	Fumikazu Shimoshikiryoh	49185 CON (70840)	4293	
21874 7590 10/1	005	EXAM	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP		RUDE, TI	RUDE, TIMOTHY L	
P.O. BOX 55874		PAPER NUMBER		
BOSTON, MA 02205		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2883		

DATE MAILED: 10/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	IJ		
Advisory Action	10/621,167	SHIMOSHIKIRYOH	, FUMIKAZU		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Timothy L. Rude	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 19 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE TH					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o			andonment of		
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37					
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
NOTICE OF APPEAL	nlianas with 27 CED 44 27 must be	filed within two man	the of the data		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).					
AMENDMENTS					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because		
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
<ul> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for</li> </ul>					
appeal; and/or (d)☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>4,9-11,13 and 16</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-8,12,14 and 15	<u>5</u> .				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	All E				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>					
<ul><li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing</li></ul>	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to th	e date of filing a brief	, will <u>not</u> be		
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.					
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:					
- 1. — The request for reconsideration has been considered but does not place the application in condition for allowable because.					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
		tlr			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: It is respectfully pointed out that, as Applicant acknowledges, Applicant's proposed claim amendments do not merely move limitations from dependent claim 9 into independent claim 4. However, the proposed amendment to base claim 4 would do more than more distinctly describe the third phase difference compensator; it would actually depart from the third phase difference compensator present in claim 9. In order to avoid a non-responsive due to shifting to an alternate species (of third phase difference compensator) Applicant is encouraged to retain the present claim language for said compensator. Applicant may add limitations to further limit said compensator, but removing (or changing) present limitations may result in an alternate species, non-elected by original presentation.

Frank G. Font Supervisory Patent Examiner

Frank & Fort

Technology Center 2800